



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

LT

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/760,908 01/16/01 MCCABE

G 1685-P01487U

EXAMINER

000110 MM91/0425
DANN DORFMAN HERRELL & SKILLMAN
SUITE 720
1601 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-2307

LOCKETT, K
ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2837

DATE MAILED:

04/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/760,908	Applicant(s) Geoffrey L. McCabe
Examiner Kim Lockett	Art Unit 2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCabe.

McCabe teaches the use of a musical instrument with a string(6) having a first end and a second end; a tailpiece(16) for securing the first end of the string, a head(2) remote from the tailpiece for securing the second end and comprising an anchor(12) connected with the second end and an arm(18) for pivoting the anchor about an axis transverse the axis of the string; wherein pivoting the anchor in a first direction increases the tension in the string(column 14, lines 34-40)(claims 1,12).

Regarding claims 2-5, and 15 the device taught by McCabe includes the use of a lock with a plurality of teeth(272) formed on the arm that cooperate with a plurality of teeth formed on the arm(274) for impeding the pivoting of the arm(see figure 11c and 11d).

Regarding claims 6-9, and 16-19 the device taught by McCabe includes the use of a tuning means that comprises a thumbscrews(264 and 264a)for varying the tension of the strings(column 21, lines 57-65) and adjusting the anchor.

Art Unit: 2837

Regarding claims 10 and 11, the musical instrument comprises a nut(10) and a bridge(16), where the bridge forms a first critical point for the string and the nut comprises a second critical point, wherein the anchor is adjacent the nut (column 19, lines 1-15).

Regarding claims 13, 14, and 20, the musical instrument comprises a tensioner that comprises a lever (254) pivot able about an axis.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Borisoff, Stroh, Storey, Chang and Cipriani('905) teach the use of bridge systems. Takabayashi and Steinberger teach the use of tremolo devices. Cipriani('571), Matsui, and Rose teach the use of tuning devices.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.


ROBERT E. NAPPI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000